

## International Journal of Civil Engineering and Infrastructure Vol. 2, No. 1, March 2022

## RISK MANAGEMENT IN THE JABODEBEK LRT (LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT) DEVEL-OPMENT PROJECT, IN JAKARTA AND ITS NEIGHBOURING CITIES

Trijeti<sup>1</sup>, Andi Madeppungeng<sup>2</sup>, Rachmat Said Rasul<sup>3</sup>, Rachmad Irwanto<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Civil Engineering Study Program, University of Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Jl. Cempaka Putih Tengah 27,

Indonesia

Corresponding Email: trijeti@umj.ac.id

<sup>2</sup> Civil Engineering Study Program, University of Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Jl. Cempaka Putih Tengah 27, Indonesia

inuonesia

Email: andi\_made@yahoo.com

<sup>3</sup> Civil Engineering Study Program, University of Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Jl. Cempaka Putih Tengah 27, Indonesia

muonesia

Email: rachmatsaidr@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup> Civil Engineering Study Program, University of Muhammadiyah Jakarta, Jl. Cempaka Putih Tengah 27,

Indonesia

Email: rachmad.irwanto@umj.ac.id

Received December 16, 2021 | Accepted January 21, 2022

#### ABSTRACT

LRT (Light Rail Transit) is one solution for an environmentally friendly transportation system to overcome congestion problems that occur in Jakarta. This mass transportation is considered effective because there is less land in the capital for road construction. The project is a complex project that includes design, material procurement and construction. The development that is currently underway is cross-service 1 (Cawang-Cibubur), cross-service 2 (Cawang-Dukuh Atas) and cross-service 3 (Cawang-Bekasi East). This study aims to determine the risks that often arise and find out the right way to handle the risks that arise in the construction of the JABODE-BEK LRT project. Data was collected using a questionnaire on 45 respondents who were involved in the construction of the JABODEBEK LRT project. The variables studied in this study are aspects of scheduling planning, aspects of scope and work documents (contracts), aspects of organizational systems, coordination and communication, aspects of resource readiness/preparation, aspects of inspection systems, work control and evaluation and other aspects (force majeure /beyond the ability of the owner and contractor). Data processing in this study used the SPSS version 25 program. This study discusses seven variables classified as high risk (H) in the project.

*Keywords*: LRT (Light Rail Transit), Cross Services 1, 2 and 3, Comparative Analysis, SPSS v.25 Test.

#### 1. PRELIMINARY

The development of Jakarta as the capital city of Indonesia is growing rapidly and has become one of the busiest cities in the world. Even with the current conditions, Jakarta continues to improve in every way, especially in improving various facilities and infrastructure, especially public transportation, considering that public transportation is crucial for this city. Light Rail Transit JABODEBEK or abbreviated as LRT JABODEBEK is a Mass Transit system with light rail (LRT) which is currently under construction in Jakarta and connects Jakarta with surrounding cities such as Bekasi and Bogor. There will be 6 LRTs in JABODEBEK, namely Cibubur-Cawang, Bekasi Timur-Cawang, Cawang-Dukuh Atas, Cibubur-Bogor, Dukuh Atas-Palmerah-Senayan and Palmerah-Grogol. Each stage of the project cannot be separated from various risks that affect both in terms of quality. This research was conducted to determine the risks that exist in the Light Rail Transit (LRT) project.

## **Key Issues**

The key issues that are drawn from this analysis are:

- 1. What risks are expected to arise frequently in Light Rail Transit (LRT) construction projects?
- 2. What are the appropriate measures in dealing with risks arising in the work of the Light Rail Transit (LRT) construction project?

## Objectives

The objectives of this research are as follows:

- 1. To find out the risks that often arise in the construction of the JABODEBEK LRT project.
- 2. To find out the right way to handle the risks that arise in the JABODEBEK LRT project.

## 2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

#### **Construction project**

A construction project is a series of activities that are carried out only once and are generally short-term and have a clear start and end time. In this series of activities, there is a process that processes project resources into a result of activities in the form of buildings.

## **Definition of Risk**

Risk can be defined as the opportunity for an adverse event to occur due to the uncertainty of what will be faced. Uncertainty is a potential change that will occur in the future as a consequence of the inability to know what will happen, if an activity is carried out at this time, Chapman et al (2003) emphasized that it is very important to place uncertainty as a starting point in risk management.

## **Risk identification**

Risk identification is the initial stage in risk management which aims to be able to describe and detail the types of risks that may occur from the activities or activities that we will carry out. Risk identification is carried out based on the description of the planned activities to be carried out and is guided by changes/uncertainties from various existing risk sources. This risk identification stage is the most difficult and most decisive stage in risk management. This difficulty is caused by the inability to identify all the risks that will arise given the uncertainty of what will be faced.

## Formatting risk map

By knowing the existing risk level, then the existing risks can be mapped into a risk map. This Risk Map shows the location of the risk based on its level. The risk map will be used in the next stage to evaluate the existing risks. The following is an image of the Probability and Impact Matrix.

Likelihood	Severity Negligible (1)		Minor (2)	Moderate (3)	Major (4)	Extreme (5)		
Rare (1)		Low (1x1)	Low (1x2)	Low (1x3)	Low (1x4)	Medium (1x5)		
Unlikely (2)		Low (2x1)	Low (2x2)	Medium (2x3) Medium (2x4)		High (2x5)		
Possible (3)		Low (3x1)	Medium (3x2)	Medium (3x3)	High (3x4)	High (3x5)		
Likely (4)		Low (4x1)	Medium (4x2)	High (4x3)	High (4x4)	Very High (4x5)		
Almost Certain (5)		Certain (5) Medium (5x1) Hig		High (5x3)	Very High (5x4)	Very High (5x5)		
	Adapted from the AS/NZ 4360 Standard Risk Matrix and NHS QIS Risk Matrix							



## 3. METHODOLOGY



Figure 2. Research Flowchart

#### **Research variables**

Table 1. Research variables

Variable	Code	Sub Indicator
	X1	Very strict project schedule determina- tion by the owner
	X2	Incomplete identifi- cation of the type of work that must exist
Aspects of	Х3	The work order plan is not well organized/in- tegrated
Planning	X4	Inaccurate determi- nation of working time duration
	X5	The owner's work plan changes fre- quently
	X6	Wrong or incorrect construction/work ex- ecution methods
Aspects of Scope and Documents of Work (contract)	Х7	Incorrect/incomplete planning (draw- ings/specifications)
	X8	Changes in de- sign/work details during execution
	Х9	Changes in the scope of work at the time of implementation
	X10	The process of mak- ing working draw- ings by contractors
	X11	Process of request- ing and approval of working drawings by owner
	X12	Disagreement of working drawing rules
	X13	There is a lot (often) additional work

	X14	There is a request for changes to the work that has been completed
Organi- zational Aspects, Coordi- nation and Commu- nication System	X15	Limited authority of owner personnel in decision making
	X16	Qualifications of per- sonnel/owners who are not professional in their field
	X17	Bureaucratic way of inspection and con- trol of work by the owner
	X18	Owner's failure to coordinate the work of many contrac- tors/subcontractors
	X19	Owner's failure to coordinate land transfer/use
	X20	Delay in supply of tools/materials etc. provided by owner
	X21	Poor technical and managerial qualifi- cations of personnel in contractor work organizations
	X22	Poor coordination and communication between sections in the contractor's work organization
	X23	Accident at work
Aspects of Alert- ness / Re- source Prepara- tion	X24	Slow Mobilization of Resources (materi- als, tools, labor)

-	r	
	X25	Lack of expertise and skills and work motivation of work- ers directly in the field
	X26	Inadequate number of workers/accord- ing to existing work activities
	X27	Unavailability of ma- terials with suffi- cient certainty/ap- propriateness as needed
	X28	Negligence / Delay by sub-contractor work
	X29	Funding of project activities that are not well planned (difficulty in funding in contractors)
	X30	The contractor is not paid properly ac- cording to his rights (difficulty in pay- ment by the owner)
Aspects of Work Inspec- tion, Control and Evalua- tion Sys- tem	X31	Submission of sam- ples of materials by contractors who are not scheduled
	X32	Process for request- ing and approving material samples by the former owner
	X33	The process of test- ing and evaluating the material test from the owner is not relevant
	X34	Long-winded work permit approval process

	X35	Failure of the con- tractor to carry out the work
	X36	Many works have to be repaired/re- worked because they are defec- tive/incorrect
	X37	Processes and pro- cedures for evaluat- ing the progress of work that are long and past the agreed schedule
Miscel- laneous Aspects (Force Ma- jor/be- yond the ability of Owner and Contrac- tor)	X38	Site conditions and environment did not match expectations
	X39	Transportation to difficult project sites
	X40	Unforeseen events such as fire, flood, storm/hurricane, earthquake, land- slide, severe weather
	X41	Labor strike
	X42	Riot or war
	X43	The occurrence of damage/damage due to negligence or the actions of a third party
	X44	Changes in the gov- ernment's politi- cal/economic situa- tion or policy

# 4. DISCUSSION Collecting data

Primary data collection is the collection of data taken directly from the field. This data collection was carried out by distributing questionnaires to contractors handling design-and-build contracts who are working on LRT (Light Rail Transit) construction projects. Respondents taken for this study were 45 respondents.



Figure 3. Respondents' sex

Based on Figure 3, respondents are male with a percentage of 75.56% and female with a percentage of 24.44%.



■Age ≤ 25 ■Age 26-30 ■Age 31-35 ■Age 36-40 ■Age > 40

Figure 4. Respondents' age

Based on Figure 4, respondents aged 25 years are 13.33%, aged 26-30 years are dominant with a percentage of 51.11%, aged 31-35 years are 20%, aged 36-40 years are 2.22% and aged >40 years are 13.33%.



Figure 5. Respondents' education level

Based on Figure 5, respondents with the latest education D3 (diploma degree graduates) are 6.67%, S1 (Undergraduate degree graduates) are 84.44% and S2 (Post Graduate degree graduates) are 8.89%.



Figure 6. Respondents' work experience

Based on Figure 6, respondents with work experience <5 years are 57.78%, 5-10 years work experience is 26.67%, 11-20 years work experience is 13.33% and work experience >20 years is 2.22%.

## Data analysis

#### a. Validity test

The results of testing the validity of the probability and impact questionnaire that have tabulated the data. The following are the results of data processing using the help of the SPSS program:

		r	r	Re-
Variable	Item	table	count	mark
	X1	tubic	0.462	Valid
Aspects	X2		0.512	Valid
of	X3		0.446	Valid
Schedul-	X4		0.474	Valid
ing Plan-	X5		0.361	Valid
ning	X6		0.501	Valid
	X7		0.534	Valid
Aspects	X8		0.331	Valid
of Scope	<u>x9</u>		0.507	Valid
and Doc-	X10		0.525	Valid
uments	X10 X11		0.706	Valid
of Work	X12 X12		0.700	Valid
(con-	X12 X13		0.107	Valid
tract)	X13 X14		0.417	Valid
Aspects	X15		0.104	Valid
of Or-	X16		0.502	Valid
ganiza-	X17		0.398	Valid
tional.	X17 X18		0.570	Valid
Coordi-	X10 V10		0.372	Valid
nation	X19 X20		0.792	Valid
and	A20 V21		0.007	Valid
Commu-	A21 V22		0.715	Valid
nication	ΛΔΔ		0.070	Vallu
System	X23		0.722	Valid
Aspects	X24		0.741	Valid
of Alert-	X25	0.294	0.778	Valid
ness /	X26		0.618	Valid
Re-	X27		0.806	Valid
source	X28		0.619	Valid
Prepara-	X29		0.429	Valid
tion	X30		0.584	Valid
Aspects	X31		0.693	Valid
of Work	X32		0.441	Valid
Inspec-	X33		0.542	Valid
tion,	X34		0.429	Valid
Control	X35		0.658	Valid
and	X36		0.596	Valid
Evalua-				
tion Sys-	X37		0.644	Valid
Miccol	V20		0(52	Valid
Miscei-	X38		0.653	
Aspects	X39		0.680	Valid
(Force	X4U		0.519	Valla
Ma-	Λ41 V42		0.438	Valid
ior/be-	X4Z		0.634	
yond the	X43		0.//3	valid
ability of				
Owner				
and	X44		0.562	Valid
Contrac-				
tor)				

Tabel 3. Validity Test Data (Impact)

Varia-		r	r	
hle	Item	ı tahle	count	Remark
Acposts	V1	table	0 548	Valid
of	Y2		0.310	Valid
Sched-	V2		0.150	Valid
uling	Y4		0.771	Valid
Plan-	Y5		0.303	Valid
ning	Y6		0.375	Valid
Aspects	Y7		0.520	Valid
of	Y8		0.461	Valid
Scope	Y9		0.507	Valid
and	Y10		0.490	Valid
Docu-	Y11		0.526	Valid
ments	Y12		0.624	Valid
of Work	Y13		0.411	Valid
(con-	V1 4		0.024	Valid
tract)	Y14		0.634	Vulla
Aspects	Y15		0.782	Valid
of Or-	Y16		0.673	Valid
ganiza-	Y17		0.395	Valid
tional,	Y18		0.756	Valid
Coordi-	Y19		0.582	Valid
nation	Y20		0.533	Valid
and	Y21		0.695	Valid
Com-	Y22		0.530	Valid
muni-		0.29		Valid
Cation	Y23	4	0.640	
Across	V24		0.405	Valid
Aspects	124 V25		0.405	Valid
Alort-	125 V26		0.430	Valid
ness /	120 V27		0.771	Valid
Re-	127 V20		0.585	Valid
source	120 V20		0.347	Valid
Prepa-	129		0.449	Valid
ration	Y30		0.520	Vallu
Aspects	Y31		0.407	Valid
of Work	Y32		0.507	Valid
Inspec-	Y33		0.611	Valid
tion,	Y34		0.568	Valid
Control	Y35		0.624	Valid
and	Y36		0.308	Valid
Evalua-				Valid
tion	Y37		0.634	
System				
Miscel-	Y38		0.782	Valid
laneous	Y39		0.663	Valid
Aspects	Y40		0.395	Valid
(Force	Y41		0.739	Valid
Ma-	Y42		0.592	Valid
	Y43		0.530	Valid

jor/be- yond the ability of Owner	Y44	0.701	Valid
and			
Con-			
tractor)			

#### b. Reliability test

The results of the reliability test from the probability and impact questionnaire that have been tabulated by the data. The following are the results of data processing using the help of the SPSS program:

## Table 4. Reliability (probability) test result

## **Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,938	44

Table 5. Reliability (impact) test result

## **Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,937	44

#### c. Normalization test

Normalization test is a sample testing method to determine the level of normality of an answer from respondents. The purpose is to assess data in a group of data or variables used in research, whether the data distribution is normal.

The results of the normalization test using the IBM SPSS V.25 program can be seen in the table below:

## Table 6. Normality test result (Probability and impact)

		Tests o	f Normalit	y			
	Kolmo	gorov-Smiri	nov <sup>a</sup>	Shapiro-Wilk			
Statistic df Sig. Statistic df						Sig.	
Probabilitas	,087	45	,200*	,959	45	,112	
Dampak Risiko	,098	45	,200`	,968	45	,255	
*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.							
a. Lilliefors Signific	ance Correctior	)					

From the results in table 6 it can be seen that the Sig Shapiro-Wilk value on the probability and impact indicator shows a significance value > 0.05, which means that the sample is normally distributed.

#### d. Risk level analysis

The risk level analysis is carried out on the risk level index, where the risk level index is the product of probability and impact. The risk level index is divided into 3 (three) risk levels, namely low (L), medium (M) and high (H). Based on the level of risk, it is represented in green for low risk levels, yellow for medium risk levels and red for high risk levels. The division of the 3 levels is evaluated based on the dominant value of the frequency of opportunity x the dominant value of the frequency of impact.

Table 7. Probability – Impact Matrix

Likelihood	Severity	Negligible (1)	Minor (2)	Moderate (3)	Major (4)	Extreme (5)
Rare (1)		Low (1x1)	Low (1x2)	Low (1x3)	Low (1x4)	Medium (1x5)
Unlikely (2)		Low (2x1)	Low (2x2)	Medium (2x3)	Medium (2x4)	High (2x5)
Possible (3)		Low (3x1)	Medium (3x2)	Medium (3x3)	High (3x4)	High (3x5)
Likely (4)		Low (4x1)	Medium (4x2)	High (4x3)	High (4x4)	Very High (4x5)
Almost Certain (5)		Medium (5x1)	High (5x2)	High (5x3)	Very High (5x4)	Very High (5x5)

Analysis of the level of risk in the Jabodebek LRT construction work can be seen based on the following table:

Table 8. Risk Level Analysis

No.	Variable	Sub Indicator	Prob. Domina- tion Freq.	Impact Domina- tion Freq.	Risk (prob. x Impact)	Risk Level
1	Aspects of Scheduling Planning	of 5				
	X1	Very strict project schedule determina- tion by the owner	5	4	20	H
	X2	Incomplete identification of the type of work that must exist	2	4	8	М
	Х3	The work order plan is not well orga- nized/integrated	2	4	8	М
	X4 Inaccurate determination of working time duration		3	3	9	М
	X5 The owner's work plan changes fre- quently		5	4	20	Н
	X6 Wrong or incorrect construction/work execution methods		3	3	9	М

2	Aspects of Scope and Documents of Work (contract)					
	X7	Incorrect/incomplete planning (draw- ings/specifications)	4	3	12	Н
	X8	Changes in design/work details during execution	4	4	16	Н
	Х9	Changes in the scope of work at the time of implementation	2	4	8	М
	X10	The process of making working draw- ings by contractors	5	4	20	Н
	X11	Process of requesting and approval of working drawings by owner	5	4	20	н
	X12	Disagreement of working drawing rules	3	3	9	М
	X13	4	3	12	Н	
	X14	There is a request for changes to the work that has been completed	3	3	9	М
3	Organiza- tional As- pects, Coor- dination and Com- munication System					
	X15	Limited authority of owner personnel in decision making	2	4	8	М
	X16	Qualifications of personnel/owners who are not professional in their field	2	4	8	М
	X17 Bureaucratic way of inspection and control of work by the owner		3	3	9	М
	X18	X18 Owner's failure to coordinate the work of many contractors/subcontractors		3	9	М
	X19	Owner's failure to coordinate land transfer/use	3	3	9	М
	X20	Delay in supply of tools/materials etc. provided by owner	3	3	9	М
	X21	Poor technical and managerial qualifi- cations of personnel in contractor work organizations	3	3	9	М

	X22 Poor coordination and communication between sections in the contractor's work organization		3	3	9	М
	X23	Accident at work	1	4	4	L
4	Aspects of Alertness / Resource Preparation					
	X24	Slow Mobilization of Resources (mate- rials, tools, labor)	2	4	8	М
	X25	Lack of expertise and skills and work motivation of workers directly in the field	3	3	9	М
	X26 Inadequate number of workers/ac- cording to existing work activities		3	3	9	М
	X27 Unavailability of materials with suffi- cient certainty/appropriateness as needed		3	3	9	М
	X28 Negligence / Delay by sub-contractor work		3	3	9	М
	X29 Funding of project activities that are not well planned (difficulty in funding in contractors)		3	3	9	М
	X30	The contractor is not paid properly ac- cording to his rights (difficulty in pay- ment by the owner)	3	3	9	М
5	Aspects of Work In- spection, Control and Evaluation System					
	X31 Submission of samples of materials by contractors who are not scheduled		2	4	8	М
	X32 Process for requesting and approving material samples by the former owner		2	4	8	М
	X33	The process of testing and evaluating the material test from the owner is not relevant	3	3	9	М
	X34	Long-winded work permit approval process	3	3	9	М

	X35	Failure of the contractor to carry out the work		3	9	М
	X36	Many works have to be repaired/re- worked because they are defective/in- correct	3	3	9	М
	X37	Processes and procedures for evaluat- ing the progress of work that are long and past the agreed schedule	3	3	9	М
6	Miscellane- ous Aspects (Force Ma- jor/beyond the ability of Owner and Contractor)					
	X38 Site conditions and environment did not match expectations		3	3	9	М
	X39	Transportation to difficult project sites	1	4	4	L
	X40	Unforeseen events such as fire, flood, storm/hurricane, earthquake, land- slide, severe weather	3	3	9	М
	X41	Labor strike	2	3	6	М
	X42	Riot or war	2	3	6	М
	X43	The occurrence of damage/damage due to negligence or the actions of a third party	1	3	3	L
	X44	Changes in the government's politi- cal/economic situation or policy	3	3	9	М

Based on table 8 high risk (H) consists of 7 variables (15.91%), medium risk (M) is 34 variables (77.27%), and low risk (L) is 3 variables (6.82%). So it can be concluded that the Jabodebek LRT project work has a high risk.

The following are the results of the quadrant analysis of the data processing using the SPSS program:





The order of the most dominant risks in the Jabodebek LRT project work is as follows:

		Sub Indicator	Risk
1	X1	Very strict project schedule determination by the owner	20
2	X5	The owner's work plan changes frequently	20
3	X10	The process of making work- ing drawings by contractors	20
4	X11	Process of requesting and ap- proval of working drawings by owner	20
5	X8	Changes in design/work de- tails during execution	16
6	X7	Incorrect/incomplete plan- ning (drawings/specifica- tions)	12
7	X13	There is a lot (often) addi- tional work	12

#### Table 9. Level Risk Sequence

#### e. Correlation analysis

Correlation analysis is used to determine the relationship between variable X (probability) and variable Y (risk impact). The results of the correlation analysis using the IBM SPSS V.25 program can be seen in table 10 below:

Table	10.	Corre	lation	Anal	lysis
-------	-----	-------	--------	------	-------

Correlations								
Probabilitas Dampak Risiko								
Probabilitas	Pearson Correlation	1	,416"					
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,004					
	N	45	45					
Dampak Risiko	Pearson Correlation	,416"	1					
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,004						
	N	45	45					

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Based on table 10 shows a significance value of 0.004 or a significance <0.05, then the correlation and the relationship between the two variables is a moderate correlation of 0.416.

#### High risk handling according to managerial peers

The next step is to conduct interviews with three managerial peers related to the work with the aim of obtaining information regarding the control of high risks that occur in the work of the Jabodebek LRT project. Qualifications of experts based on positions in the projects involved in this project are Project Manager, Deputy Project Manager and Project Engineering Manager. The dominant answer from the respondent is the best decision that is considered to reduce the level of risk that occurs. The results of the questionnaire obtained are as follows:

			Respondents' answers			Dominant An-
	Code	Sub Indicator	R1	R2	R3	swer
1	X1	Very strict project schedule deter- mination by the owner	Risks that arise De- layed work Handling: speed up material procure- ment con- tracts and subcon- tract work	Risks that arise Delayed work Handling: speed up ap- proval of draw- ings and materi- als to pursue a predetermined schedule	Risks that arise: Work pending Handling: Survey of overdue work and speeding up approval of draw- ings and materi- als	speeding up ap- proval of draw- ings and materi- als
2	Х5	The owner's work plan changes fre- quently	Risks that arise: de- sign changes Handling: make an action plan that is co- ordinated with re- lated par- ties re- garding the change	Risks that arise: design changes Handling: Speed- ing up the design related to re- quests for plan changes from the owner if it has not been backed up by the planner by hiring a third- party planner	Risks that arise: design changes Handling: Ob- serve changes of work plan from the owner and immediately making an action plan	immediately make an action plan regarding changes to the work plan carried out by the owner
3	X10	The process of making working drawings by con- tractors	Risks that arise: de- layed drawing approval Handling: Increase the num- ber of drafters if there are many drawings that have simultane- ous ur- gency	Risks that arise: delayed drawing approval Handling: Control the progress of each drafter and make a target for completion of the drawing and if needed, add more drafters	Risks that arise: delayed drawing approval Handling: Re- quest assistance from specialist subcon if needed regarding detail- ing specialist drawings such as sandwich panels, ceilings, curtain walls etc.	add more drafters and make a target for completion of the drawing
4	X11	Process of re- questing and ap- proval of working drawings by owner	Risks that arise: de- layed drawing approval Handling: Monitor- ing the technical	Risks that arise: delayed drawing approval Handling: Inform the technical ad- ministration of the consultant if there are draw-	Risks that arise: delayed drawing approval Handling: Speed- ing up drawing assistance to ex- pert consultants	Monitoring the technical admin- istration of the consultant so that the drawing is im- mediately fol- lowed up if there is an approval problem

			admin	ings that need as		
			istration of	celeration to be		
			the con-	approved imme-		
			sultant so	diately		
			that the			
			drawing is			
			immedi-			
			ately fol-			
			lowed up if			
			there is an			
			approval			
			problem			
			Risks that	Risks that arise:	Risks that arise:	Speeding up
			arise: De-	Delayed drawing	Delayed drawing	drawing assis-
			layed	approval	approval	tance from spe-
			drawing			cialist sub con
			approval		Handling: Coordi-	consultants
				Handling: Speed-	nate design	
			Handling:	ing up drawing	changes with the	
			Speeding	assistance from	relevant team and	
-	NO	Changes in de-	up the de-	expert consult-	if needed, assist	
5	X8	sign/work details	sign pro-	ants	from specialist	
		during execution	cess by in-		subcons to be im-	
			volving		neulately coolui-	
			specialist		nateu	
			such as			
			such as			
			nanels			
			ceilings			
			etc.			
			Risks that	Risks that arise:	Risks that arise:	Equipped with
			arise:	Work in the field	Work in the field	drawings/specifi-
			Work in	goes wrong and	goes wrong and	cations according
			the field	there is a risk of	there is a risk of	to directions from
			goes	material loss	material loss	expert consult-
			wrong and			ants and immedi-
			there is a	Handling: Coordi-	Handling: Com-	ately submitted
			risk of ma-	nate the matter to	plete draw-	for the approval
			terial loss	the engineering	ings/specifica-	process
			Handling	diately make	nossible and im-	
			Immedi-	changes to draw-	mediately submit	
		Incorrect/incom-	ately re-	ings/specifica-	for approval	
		nlete nlanning	vise the	tions to he imme-		
6	X7	(drawings/speci-	drawing	diately submitted		
		fications)	following	for the approval		
		·····	the re-	process		
			quest from	▲ -		
			the expert			
			consultant			
			and the			
			owner			
			must be in-			
			formed if			
			there are			
			additional			
			specifica-			
l I			tions			

7	X13	There is a lot (of- ten) additional work	Risks that arise: De- layed drawing approval Handling: Immedi- ately re- vise the drawing and submit it for the approval process both in terms of drawings and mate-	Risks that arise: Delayed drawing approval Handling: Speed up the drawing revision process and distribute in- formation about the added work both internally and externally	Risks that arise: Delayed drawing approval Handling: Revise drawings and in- form additional material so that it can be processed for submitting ap- proval to expert consultants	Handling: Revise the image for im- mediate submis- sion of the ap- proval process and notify the ad- ditional costs in- curred for ap- proval
			and mate- rials			

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

From the results obtained through the stages of the research process regarding the JABODEBEK LRT (Light Rail Transit) development project, it can be concluded as follows:

1. The JABODEBEK LRT (Light Rail Transit) development project has a high level of risk based on the analysis in table 8, namely high risk (H) totaling 7 variables (15.91%), moderate risk (M) totaling 34 variables (77.27%), and low risk (L) amounted to 3 variables (6.82%). The highest level of risk is the variable:

- a. X1: very strict project schedule setting by the owner
- b. X5: owner's work plan that changes frequently
- c. X10: the process of making working drawings by contractors
- d. X11: process of requesting and approval of working drawings by the owner with a risk value of 20 each.

2. How to handle the high risks that arise in the JABODEBEK LRT (Light Rail Transit) construction project, namely:

- a. X1: very strict project schedule setting by the owner
  - Accelerate approval of drawings and materials.
- b. X5: owner's work plan that changes frequently
  - Immediately make an action plan regarding changes to the work plan carried out by the owner.
- c. X10: the process of making working drawings by contractors
  - Increasing the number of drafters and giving the completion target of each drafter.
- d. X11: process of requesting and approval of working drawings by the owner
  - Monitoring and informing the technical administration of the consultant if there are drawings that need acceleration for immediate approval.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmad, Tahir, & Cahyati, Nurul. (2018). Analisa Risiko Pada Tahap Konstruksi Pembangunan Pembangkit Listrik Tenaga Minihidro (PLTM) Pongkor. Seminar Nasional Sains dan Teknologi 2018.
- [2] Fahmi, Faisal. (2017). Analisis Faktor Utama Yang Mempengaruhi Waktu Pelaksanaan Proyek Pembangunan Infrastruktur LRT (Light Rail Transit). Departemen Fakultas Bisnis dan Manajemen Teknologi Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember Surabaya.
- [3] Hartono, B.D., Yuwono, B.E., & Damayanti, J. (2018). Penilaian Risiko Pelaksanaan Proyek Light Rail Transit (LRT) Jabodebek. Konferensi Teknik Sipil 12, 117-183.
- [4] Wibowo, H., Kartono Sumirin. (2019). Analisis Variabel Yang Berpengaruh Terhadap Putus Kontrak Proyek (Studi Kasus Embung Proyek). Departemen Ketileng Fakultas Teknik Universitas Islam Sultan Agung.
- [5] Kusumadewi, A., Virginia, L., Hatmoko, J.U.D., Ferry, H. (2017). Analisa Manajemen Risiko Tahap Konstruksi Pada Proyek Kereta Cepat Jakarta-Bandung. Jurnal Karya Teknik Sipil Vol. 6, 157-164.
- [6] Labombang, Mastura. (2011). Manajemen Risiko Dalam Proyek Konstruksi. Jurnal Smartek Vol. 9 No.1, 39-46
- [7] Maddeppungeng, A., Wigati, R., & Fariz, A. (2017). Manajemen Risiko Proyek Pembangunan Jalur Kereta Api Yang Berpengaruh Terhadap Kinerja Waktu. Jurnal Fondasi Vol 6 No. 2, 34-45.
- [8] Prayitno, Eko. (2020). Analisis Penyebab Keterlambatan Pelaksanaan Proyek Konstruksi Jalan Di Kabupaten Kebumen (Studi Kasus Jalan Lingkar Utara Kabupaten Kebumen). Departemen Teknik Sipil Universitas Islam Indonesia.

- [9] Santoso, Nurcahyo Budi. (2017). Analisis Manajemen Risiko Pada Proyek Pembangunan Jalan Tol (Studi Kasus Proyek Pembangunan Jalan Tol Solo-Ngawi-Kertosono Ruas Ngawi-Kertosono Paket 3. Departemen Teknik Sipil Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta.
- [10] Sebayang, E.M., Rahardjo, H.A., & Dinariana, D. (2018). Pengelolaan Risiko Proyek Gedung Bertingkat Pada PT. XYZ Di Jakarta Terhadap Kinerja Waktu. Jurnal Teknik Sipil Vol. 25 No. 3, 229-236.
- [11] Situmorang, B.E., Tisano Tj, A., & Tjakra, J. (2018). Analisis Risiko Pelaksanaan Pembangunan Proyek Konstruksi Bangunan Gedung. Jurnal Tekno Vol. 16 No. 69, 31-35.
- [12] Surat Keputusan Direksi Tentang Penetapan Manual Manajemen Risiko Tahun 2020 PT Adhi Karya (Persero) Tbk.
- [13] Triase, & Marleno, Risma. (2018). Analisis Manajemen Risiko Pembangunan Proyek Jalan Lintas Bawah Tanah Bunderan Mayjen Sungkono Surabaya. Departemen Teknik Sipil Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Surabaya.